
“A sensible compromise I think”

“Seems a reasonable place to put it”

Neutral/Unknown

Construction

- 3.234 73 of the comments within this theme suggested that construction works should be linked to the current site developments at Springfield to minimise disruption.

Suggestions/route options

- 3.235 Withi this theme, 30 comments stated they would prefer a station in Streatham, and 28 requested further information about the proposal.
- 3.236 A petition associated with this area was also submitted to TfL. This petition is detailed in chapter 5.

Wimbledon

- 3.237 People were invited to answer five questions about the proposals relating to Wimbledon. The following five questions were asked:

- Question 27: Do you have any comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 station at Wimbledon?
- Question 28: Do you have any comments about the proposals for a tunnel portal at Gap Road, north of Wimbledon?
- Question 29: Do you have any comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 depot and stabling facility at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham?
- Question 30: Do you have any comments about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham?
- Question 31: Do you have any comments about the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road, south of Wimbledon?

- 3.238 The following sections will discuss the responses to each question in more detail.
- 3.239 Question 30, which asked respondents for their comments on the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham, is reported on within the Balham section of this report.

Question 27: Comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 station at Wimbledon

- 3.240 This section looks at the open responses from respondents who answered question 27 about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 station at Wimbledon. Table 3.27 organises the comments received into broad themes. Themes with more than 100 comments are discussed in more detail below the table.
- 3.241 The total number of respondents who answered this question was 2,369.

Table 3.27: Q27 Do you have any comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 station at Wimbledon?

	Key Themes	Number of comments	Total comments	% comments
Issues and concerns	Construction	1,264	4,392	72%
	Conservation/heritage	901		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	518		
	General unsupportive comments	487		
	Suggestions/route options	466		
Supportive	General supportive comments	447	957	16%
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	311		
	Regeneration/development	84		
	Design	38		
	Suggestions/route options	37		
Neutral/Unknown	Suggestions/route options	368	760	12%
	Design	228		
	Regeneration/development	67		
	Specific local issues	44		
	Cost/finance	40		
Total (all comments)			6,109	

Only the top 5 key themes are included in each section of the table. Therefore, the number of 'Total comments' may be higher than the total of the top 5 key themes. A full breakdown of themes and detailed comments for question 27 can be found in Appendix D.

Issues and concerns

Construction

- 3.242 Of the 1,264 comments relating to issues and concerns of construction, 1,129 respondents stated concern over the disruption to Wimbledon town centre during construction. 81 respondents were concerned about the impact on Wimbledon station and train services during construction.

Conservation/heritage

- 3.243 Within this theme, 678 comments stated concern about the demolition of Centre Court Shopping Centre and other town centre buildings. 204 comments were concerned over the heritage of historic town centre buildings, such as the Prince of Wales pub.

Transport/capacity/connectivity

- 3.244 261 of the 518 comments received for this theme stated that Wimbledon already has good transport links and does not require Crossrail 2. 102 comments stated that Crossrail 2 should not be implemented at the loss of any of the current direct train services to Waterloo.

General unsupportive comments

- 3.245 487 general unsupportive comments were received about the proposals for a station at Wimbledon. Verbatim responses included:

"Against at all"

“I oppose the Crossrail 2 station at Wimbledon”

Suggestions/route options

- 3.246 Of the 466 comments giving suggestions or alternative route options, 173 comments stated dissatisfaction that only one option has been proposed within the Wimbledon plans. 160 comments request further details about the proposals for Wimbledon town centre as there is uncertainty in the current proposal documents.

Supportive

General supportive comments

- 3.247 447 comments were of general support for the proposals for a station at Wimbledon. Verbatim responses included:

“Support the proposals”

“An excellent idea”

Transport/capacity/connectivity

- 3.248 311 supportive comments were received for this theme. Of these, 97 comments stated that the proposals would ease congestion on services going to/from Wimbledon, and 96 comments stated that Crossrail 2 would improve interchange opportunities and enhance Wimbledon as a transport ‘hub’.

Neutral/Unknown

Suggestions/route options

- 3.249 Of the 368 comments within this theme, 270 comments suggested that the station should be underground.

Design

- 3.250 Within this theme, the importance of additional entrances/exits at the station was mentioned by 52 comments. 43 comments suggested that the station should be well-designed and in keeping with the architecture of the town centre.
- 3.251 A campaign associated with this area was also submitted to TfL. This campaign is detailed in chapter 5.

Question 28: Comments about the proposals for a tunnel portal at Gap Road, north of Wimbledon

- 3.252 This section looks at the responses from those who answered question 28 about the proposals for a tunnel portal at Gap Road. Table 3.28 organises the comments received into broad themes. Themes with 50 comments or more are discussed in more detail below the table.
- 3.253 The total number of respondents who answered this question was 825.

Table 3.28: Q28 Do you have any comments about the proposals for a tunnel portal at Gap Road, north of Wimbledon?

	Key Themes	Number of comments	Total comments	% comments
Issues and concerns	Construction	438	662	53%
	General unsupportive comments	95		
	Environment/social	48		
	Suggestions/route options	46		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	24		
Neutral/Unknown	Suggestions/route options	271	364	29%
	Design	52		
	Construction	12		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	11		
	Cost/finance	10		
Supportive	General supportive comments	202	231	18%
	Regeneration/development	15		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	8		
	Environment/social	3		
	Suggestions/route options	3		
Total (all comments)			1,257	

Only the top 5 key themes are included in each section of the table. Therefore, the number of 'Total comments' may be higher than the total of the top 5 key themes. A full breakdown of themes and detailed comments for question 28 can be found in Appendix D.

Issues and concerns

Construction

- 3.254 Of the 438 comments within this theme, 144 stated that construction traffic will have a negative impact on the local roads. 109 stated concern about the noise and disruption of the construction works.

General unsupportive comments

- 3.255 There were 95 general unsupportive comments regarding this proposal.

Neutral/Unknown

Suggestions/route options

- 3.256 Within this theme, 99 comments requested further information about this proposal, and 35 suggested that the portal should be located south of Wimbledon.

Design

- 3.257 30 of the 52 comments in this theme stated that an underground tunnel would reduce long term disruption, and 14 stated that the design should be discreet and unobtrusive.

Supportive

General supportive comments

- 3.258 202 general supportive comments were received about the proposals for a tunnel portal at Gap Road. Verbatim responses included:

“seems like the most sensible place for it, agree”

“Absolutely fine - no comments or complaints from me”

Question 29: Comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 depot and stabling facility at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham

3.259 This section looks at the responses from those who answered question 29 about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 depot and stabling facility at Weir Road. Table 3.29 organises the comments received into broad themes. Themes with 100 comments or more are discussed in more detail below the table.

3.260 The total number of respondents who answered this question was 702.

Table 3.29: Q29 Do you have any comments about the proposals for a Crossrail 2 depot and stabling facility at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham?

	Key Themes	Number of comments	Total comments	% comments
Issues and concerns	General unsupportive comments	278	919	61%
	Design	239		
	Construction	227		
	Economy	123		
	Suggestions/route options	50		
Supportive	General supportive comments	264	344	23%
	Design	66		
	Economy	14		
Neutral/Unknown	Suggestions/route options	169	243	16%
	Specific local issue	28		
	Environment/social	23		
	Construction	15		
	Regeneration/development	5		
Total (all comments)			1,506	

Only the top 5 key themes are included in each section of the table. Therefore, the number of ‘Total comments’ may be higher than the total of the top 5 key themes. A full breakdown of themes and detailed comments for question 29 can be found in Appendix D.

Issues and concerns

General unsupportive comments

3.261 There were 278 general unsupportive comments about the proposals for a depot and stabling facility at Weir Road.

Design

3.262 Within this theme, 127 comments were unsupportive of the chosen location for the depot and stabling facility, and 108 stated concern about the availability of space in this location.

Construction

- 3.263 Of the 227 comments in this theme, 94 stated that construction would cause too much disruption to the local area, and 80 stated that construction would bring noise and vehicular pollution.

Economy

- 3.264 Of the 123 comments, 107 stated that this proposal would negatively impact local businesses.

Supportive

General supportive comments

- 3.265 264 comments of general support were received about the proposals for a depot and stabling facility at Weir Road. Verbatim responses included:

“Useful”

“Seems sensible”

Neutral/Unknown

Suggestions/route options

- 3.266 Within this theme, 90 comments requested further information about the proposals.

Question 30: Comments about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham

- 3.267 This section looks at the responses from respondents who answered question 25 and question 30 about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham.

This question was asked twice within the consultation, in both the Balham and Wimbledon sections of the questionnaire. The responses to these questions have been combined for reporting.

- 3.268 Table 3.30 organises the comments received into broad themes. Themes with 100 comments or more are discussed in more detail below the table.
- 3.269 The total number of respondents who answered this question was 936.

Table 3.30: Q25 and Q30 Do you have any comments about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road, between Wimbledon and Balham?

	Key Themes	Number of comments	Total comments	% comments
Issues and concerns	Construction	269	796	57%
	General unsupportive comments	210		
	Suggestions/route options	160		
	Environment/social	76		
	Economy	42		
Supportive	General supportive comments	309	400	29%
	Regeneration/development	85		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	3		
	Construction	3		
Neutral/Unknown	Design	155	203	15%
	Suggestions/route options	17		
	Regeneration/development	14		
	Construction	12		
	Environment/social	3		
Total (all comments)			1,399	

Only the top 5 key themes are included in each section of the table. Therefore, the number of 'Total comments' may be higher than the total of the top 5 key themes. A full breakdown of themes and detailed comments for questions 25 and 30 can be found in Appendix D.

Issues and concerns

Construction

- 3.270 Of the 269 comments in this theme, 147 stated concern about the disruption and noise that would be caused to residents, schools and the local community. 101 stated concern about the negative impact on congestion during construction.

General unsupportive comments

- 3.271 There were 210 general unsupportive comments about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road. Verbatim responses included:

"Do not support"

"I am against it"

Suggestions/route options

- 3.272 Of the 160 comments for this theme, 63 comments stated a preference for the Tooting Broadway station option, and 35 stated a lack of support for a Crossrail 2 station in Balham.

Supportive

General supportive comments

- 3.273 309 comments of general support were received about the proposals for a shaft at Weir Road. Verbatim responses included:

“A good idea in an industrial area”

“Seems sensible”

Neutral/Unknown

Design

- 3.274 Of the 155 comments for this theme, 111 were unsure of the exact shaft location and requested further detailed plans. 450 suggested the head house design should be in keeping with the local area.

Question 31: Comments about the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road, south of Wimbledon

- 3.275 This section looks at the responses from those who answered question 31 about the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road, south of Wimbledon. Table 3.31 organises the comments received into broad themes. Themes with 100 comments or more are discussed in more detail below the table.
- 3.276 The total number of respondents who answered this question was 833.

Table 3.31: Q31 Do you have any comments about the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road, south of Wimbledon?

	Key Themes	Number of comments	Total comments	% comments
Issues and concerns	Construction	536	1,298	66%
	General unsupportive comments	436		
	Design	259		
	Cost/finance	38		
	Regeneration/development	15		
Neutral/Unknown	Design	190	445	23%
	Suggestions/route options	122		
	Environment/social	58		
	Transport/capacity/connectivity	30		
	Conservation/heritage	16		
Supportive	General supportive comments	185	213	11%
	Design	28		
Total (all comments)			1,956	

Only the top 5 key themes are included in each section of the table. Therefore, the number of ‘Total comments’ may be higher than the total of the top 5 key themes. A full breakdown of themes and detailed comments for question 31 can be found in Appendix D.

Issues and concerns

Construction

- 3.277 Within this theme, 259 comments stated that construction would have an adverse effect on the local residential area. There were 95 comments stating that construction would cause noise pollution, and 78 stating concern about increased traffic congestion.

General unsupportive comments

- 3.278 There were 436 general unsupportive comments about the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road. Verbatim responses included:

“I oppose the turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road”

“It’s too disruptive and ugly

Design

- 3.279 Within this theme 253 comments were unsupportive of the chosen location for the turn-back and dive-under facilities.

Neutral/Unknown

Design

- 3.280 187 of the 190 comments within this theme stated that this proposal was too vague and that further information was required.

Suggestions/route options

- 3.281 Amongst the suggestions given in this theme, 34 comments suggested the tunnel should be located further south, between Raynes Park and Wimbledon.

Supportive

General supportive comments

- 3.282 185 comments stated general support for the proposed turn-back and dive-under facilities at Dundonald Road. Verbatim responses included:

“Sounds fine”

“Happy with the proposal”

Broxbourne Branch

- 3.283 People were invited to answer three questions about the proposals relating to the Broxbourne branch. The following questions were asked:

- Question 32: Do you have any comments on the proposals for Crossrail 2 at Broxbourne, Cheshunt and Waltham Cross stations?
- Question 33: Do you have any comments on the proposals for Crossrail 2 at stations between Enfield Lock and Tottenham Hale?
- Question 34: Do you have any comments on proposals to remove level crossings on the Broxbourne branch and replace with alternative access across or around the railway?

- 3.284 The following sections will discuss the responses to each question in more detail.

They believe Crossrail 2 needs to listen to local communities and work with them to find solutions that work for all.

Hackney Liberal Democrats

The Hackney Liberal Democrats support Crossrail 2 and hope that it can go ahead as soon as possible; however they are concerned about a continued elimination of benefits to Hackney as the scheme is developed.

The Hackney Liberal Democrats state that the absence of a station between Dalston and Seven Sisters is disappointing. They would like consideration given to a station at Stoke Newington. A station in Stoke Newington would, they believe, reduce traffic and bus congestion on the A10, especially between Dalston and Tottenham/Seven Sisters, and would provide Stoke Newington residents with a direct link to the west end for the first time.

The Hackney Liberal Democrats are concerned about the potential impacts on Ridley Road Market and Dalston town centre during construction of the proposed station at Dalston.

They ask that an option for a station at Essex Road is reinstated, as a station here would serve parts of Hackney and Islington which currently have poor transport connections and excessive car use.

Phillip Hammond, MP for Runnymede & Weybridge, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

MP Hammond offers no opinion on Crossrail 2 overall, but rather enquired whether there is likely to be a shaft access on the Chelsea Barracks site or at Ranelagh Gardens (between Victoria Station and King's Road Chelsea) and whether this also applies to temporary shaft access to facilitate the building stage of the project, as well as to a permanent shaft.

Stephen Hammond, MP for Wimbledon

MP Hammond remains supportive of the principles of Crossrail 2 but is unsupportive of the current proposals due to the potential negative impacts of the Wimbledon proposals from social, environmental, economic and health perspectives. MP Hammond has further concerns about the uncertainty of land take in the Raynes Park vicinity and ask for tunnelled options between Wimbledon and Raynes Park, as well as other options for Wimbledon station, to be re-examined.

Councillors Hampton, Strickland and O'Broin, St Mary's Park Ward, London Borough of Wandsworth

The Councillors state support for Crossrail 2 in principle, but outline concerns that need to be addressed before Surrey Lane Estate, the Westbridge Road shaft site, can be confirmed.

The Councillors state a number of concerns regarding the Westbridge Road shaft site, including concern over the congestion and disruption caused to residents during construction, and concern over damage to property. Further consideration should be given to alternative sites, for example in Chelsea West.

Greg Hands, MP for Chelsea and Fulham

MP Hands considers Imperial Wharf to be the most feasible and desirable location for a station in Chelsea, as opposed to a station at King's Road. A station at Imperial Wharf is also